

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 6, 1445 ROSS AVENUE, DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-2733 EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

DOCKET NO. CWA-06-2007-4334

On: February 23, 2007

At: Schmidt & Sons, Inc., 2510 Church Street, Gonzales, Gonzales County, TX, 78629. Owned or operated by: Schmidt & Sons, Inc., 2510 Church Street, Gonzales, TX 78629 (Respondent).

An authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached SPCC INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

The parties are authorized to enter into this Expedited Settlement under the authorized to enter into this Expedited Settlement under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 CFR § 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$1,300.00 This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

EPA finds the Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 CFR Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. The Respondent admits he/she is subject to 40 CFR Part 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over the Respondent and the Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. The Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$1,300.00, payable to the "Environmental Protection Agency," to: "USEPA, P.O. Box 371099M, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15251,"and Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check "Spill Fund-311" and the docket number of this case, "CWA-06-2007-4334."

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other

enforcement action for the violations identified in the Form.

After this Expedited Settlement becomes effective, EPA will take no further action against the Respondent for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by the Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon EPA's filing of the document with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

APPROVED BY EPA:

111

Samuel lates	Date: 3/20/01
Robert R. Broyles	
Chief, Response and Pro	evention Branch
Superfund Division	

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT: Name (print): Title (print): < Date: 9

Estimated cost for correcting the violation(s) is \$

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Samuel Coleman, P.E.

Director

Signature

Superfund Division

OREREV.11/18/99 R6REV 5/10/01; 9/19/01;11/ 8/01;1/22/02

FERNAL PERMIT OF FRANCE IN NO 1 11 L- AND 10

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 6 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Comp	pany Na	me	Docket Number:	
Schm	nidt & So	ons, Inc.	CWA -06-2007-4334	UNITED STATES
Facili	ity Nam	e	Date	
Schm	nidt & S	ons, Inc.	2/23/2007	THE AROTECTION OF
Addre	ess		Inspection Number	Ž ŽŽ Š
2510	Church	Street	FY-INSP-070074	My morecho
City:			Inspectors Name:	- KAKOIU-
Gonz	ales		Don Sharp	
State:	:	Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:	
TX		78629	Donald P. Smith	
Conta	act:		Enforcement Contacts:	
Mr. H	Henry So	chmidt (800) 499-4549	Bryant Smalley (214) 665-7368	
			e Plan- 112.3	
	No mar	nagement approval of plan- 112.7		300.00
	Plan no	t maintained on site (applies if facility is	manned at least four (4) hours per day)- /	12.3(e)(1)100.00
	Plan no	t available for review- 112.3(e)(1)		300.00
	No evic	dence of five-year review of plan by owne	er/operator- 112.5(b)	50.00
	No plar or main	n amendment(s) if the facility has had a chatenance which affects the facility's discharge	nange in: design, construction, operation, arge potential- 112.5(a)	50.00
	Amend	ment(s) not certified by a professional en	gineer- 112.5(c)	100.00
i	Plan do	es not follow sequence of the rule and/or	cross-reference not provided- 112.7	100.00
	Plan do	es not discuss additional procedures/meth	nods/equipment not yet fully operational-	112.750.00
\Box	Plan do	es not discuss conformance with SDCC re	equirement 112.7(a)(1)	50.00

	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SDCC and in the SDCC	
	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements- 112.7(a)(2)	
Ш.	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram- 112.7(a)(3)	
Ш	Plan has inadequate or no description of the physical layout of the facility- 112.7(a)(3)(i-vi)	100.00
	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge- 112.7(a)(4)	100.00
	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur- 112.7(a)(5)	100.00
	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges- 112.7(b)	100.00
	Plan does not discuss appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment- 112.7(c)	100.00
	- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures:	
	Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated- 112.7(d)	400.00
	No contingency plan- 112.7(d)(1)	100.00
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)	100.00
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of conformance with SPCC rules or applicable State rules, regulations and guidelines- 112.7(j)	50.00
	WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)	
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)	50.00
	- Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records:	
	Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e)	50.00
	Are not kept with the plan- 112.7(e)	50.00
	Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e)	50.00
	PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)	
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges- 112.7(f)(1)	50.00
	No training on discharge procedure protocols- 112.7(f)(1)	50.00
П	No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules, and regulations- 112.7(f)(1)	50.00
	No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules, and regulations- $112.7(f)(1)$	
	No training on general facility operations- 112.7(f)(1)	50.00
	No training on general facility operations- $112.7(f)(1)$ No training on the contents of the SPCC Plan- $112.7(f)(1)$	50.00 50.00
	No training on general facility operations- 112.7(f)(1)	50.00 50.00 50.00
	No training on general facility operations- $112.7(f)(1)$ No training on the contents of the SPCC Plan- $112.7(f)(1)$	50.00 50.00 50.00

SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(g)

Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production- $112.7(g)(1)$
in closed position when in a non-operating of standoy status- 112.7(g)(2)
Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status- $112.7(g)(3)$
Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status- 112.7(g)(4)
Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism- 112.7(g)(5).
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security
FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING RACK 112.7(h)
Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system- 112.7(h)(1)
Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(1)
There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines- 112.7(h)(2)200.00
There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(3)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack
FACILITY DRAINAGE FROM DIKED AREAS 112.8(b) & (c)
Valves used for drainage from diked storage areas to drainage system, watercourse, or effluent treatment system not controlled to prevent a discharge- 112.8(b)(2)
Run-off rainwater from diked areas is not inspected- 112.8(c)(3)(ii)
Valves not opened and resealed under responsible supervision- 112.8(c)(3)(iii)
Adequate records (or NPDES permit records) of drainage from diked areas not maintained- 112.8(c)(3)(iv)50.00
FACILITY DRAINAGE FROM UNDIKED AREAS 112.8(b)
FACILITY DRAINAGE FROM UNDIKED AREAS 112.8(b) Drainage from undiked areas do not flow into catchment basins ponds, or lagoons, or

BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.8(c)

	Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground
لـــا	tanks for brittle fracture- 112.7(i)
	Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature- 112.8(c)(1)
	Secondary containment appears to be inadequate- 112.8(c)(2)
	Containment systems, including walls and floors are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil- 112.8(c)(2)250.00
	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity and/or walls slightly eroded
	Containment bypass valves are not sealed closed when not draining rainwater- 112.8(c)(3)(i)
	Completely buried tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing- 112.8(c)(4)
	Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion- 112.8(c)(5)
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to visual inspections- 112.8(c)(6)
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, nondestructive methods, etc 112.8(c)(6)
	Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include inspections of tank supports/foundation, deterioration, discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas- 112.8(c)(6)100.00
	Steam return /exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course are not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Con	tainer installations are not engineered if:
	No audible or visual high liquid level alarm- 112.8(c)(8)(i), or
	No high liquid level pump cutoff devices- 112.8(c)(8)(ii), or
	No audible or code signal communications between tank gauger and pumping station- 112.8(c)(8)(iii), or300.00
	No fast response system for determining liquid levels, such as computers, telepulse or direct vision gauges- 112.8(c)(8)(iv)
	No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation- 112.8(c)(8)(v)
	Effluent treatment facilities which discharge directly to navigable waters are not observed frequently to detect oil spills- 112.8(c)(9)
	Causes of leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected- 112.8(c)(10) 300.00
	Mobile or portable storage containers are not positioned to prevent discharged oil from reaching navigable water- 112.8(c)(11)
	Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks- 112.8(c)(11)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks

FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)

Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -112.8(d)(1)100.00
Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found- 112.8(d)(1)300.00
Not-in-service or standby piping are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin- 112.8(d)(2)50.00
Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction- 112.8(d)(3)
Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly- 112.8(d)(4)
Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted- 112.8(d)(4)
Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operations- 112.8(d)(5)100.00
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and facility process

TOTAL <u>\$1,300.00</u>